

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/730,656	12/06/2000	Marcel Rene Bohmer	PHN 17,812	8075
7	590 02/11/2003			
Michael E. Marion			EXAMINER	
Corporate Patent Counsel U.S. Philips Corporation 580 White Plains Road			OWENS, DOUGLAS W	
Tarrytown, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2811	
·			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(14)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b>V</b>
Advisory Action	09/730,656	BOHMER ET AL.	
į	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas W Owens	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE herefore, further action by the applicant is required to inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of thi (1) a timely filed amendmo deal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply ent which places the applicati	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	. p)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f).	nis Advisory Action, or (2) the dat ire later than SIX MONTHS from VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT	the mailing date of the final rejection THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S	n. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The each ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periode under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the commely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	od of extension and the correspo of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months aft	nding amount of the fee. The approduced for reply originally set in the final O	priate extension Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar</li> <li>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)</li> </ol>			
2. igotimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ection(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT	place the
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed Se	OLELY to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	, , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	<b>/S</b> :		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
B. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examine	er
Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
0. Other:		10m / homa	
<del></del>		TOM THOMAS	
		ISORY PATENT EXAMINER USOLOGY CENTER 2800	

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment will require additional search and consideration. Additionally, with respec to arguments that there is no suggestion in in the prior art to modify the invention, Lantz discloses that it is an object of the invention to inhibit visual access to the IC, it would have been incumbent upon one of ordinary skill to apply the material on a first side of the substrate to accomplish the objective of the invention.